

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/761,433	01/16/2001	James Steven Hayko	33262 5201	
116 PEARNE & G	7590 06/07/2007 ORDON LLP		EXAMINER	
1801 EAST 9TH STREET			KANG, PAUL H	
SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND	, OH 44114-3108		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2144	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	09/761,433	HATKO ET AL.	
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Paul H. Kang	2144	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Paul H. Kang, USPTO</u> .	(3) Xiang Lu, Reg. No. 57,0	<u>089</u> .	
(2) <u>John Harris, Reg. No. 39,465</u> .	(4)	•	
Date of Interview: 30 May 2007.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: Hamilton et al. (USP 6,	009,464) and Balasubramania	am et al. (USP 6,	<u>701,411)</u> .
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	nature of what was agreed to	if an agreement	was
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that v		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE AND INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON REVERSE SIDE OF ON Attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP Y DAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
	•		
·			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	_ Examiner's sign	ature, if required	

Application No.

09/761,433

Applicant(s)

HAYKO ET AL.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,433 Page 2

Art Unit: 2144

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

- 1. The claimed invention was discussed in light of the prior art of record. Specifically, applicants argued that Hamilton failed to teach or suggest, either alone or in combination, establishing a bidirectional communication pipe enabling exclusive communication between the server process and the client process. The applicants noted that "communication pipe" as claimed requires that it be an exclusive communication link between the client and server processes within the communication link between the client and server. The examiner disagreed.
- The examiner noted that Applicants argue limitations which are not essential to the scope of the prior art. The definiteness of the language employed must be analyzed, not in a vacuum, but always in light of the teachings of the prior art and of the particular application disclosure as it would be interpreted by one possessing the ordinary level of skill in the pertinent art. Insofar, the claims have been given the broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification and the prior art, since the applicant may then amend his claims, the thought being to reduce the possibility that after a patent is granted the claims may be interpreted as giving broader coverage than is justified. Therefore, applicant's arguments regarding the "communication pipe within the communication link," and "exclusive communication" are not given weight as to the patentability of the claimed subject matter.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,433 Page 3

Art Unit: 2144

3. The examiner further noted that a "communication pipe," not having a more specific definition provided in the Specification, is interpreted as merely a connection between a client process and a server process. Additionally, "exclusive communication" is interpreted as a communication from a specific client process to a specific server process. Therefore, the prior art teaches the claimed invention.

Hamilton teaches in col. 6, lines 10-26 a client process that communicates with a server process to retrieve data from said server process. This communication is carried out over a "connection between a client process and a server process," e.g. communication pipe. This communication is "exclusive" to said client process and said server process as it is not a general connection but a specific connection between the two processes.

- 4. No formal agreements were reached. However, the examiner agreed that claim amendments incorporating "a communication pipe within a communication link" in addition to limitations regarding security features for the communication link may overcome the prior art of record. See instant Specification, ¶¶ 0047-0049 and 0052-0053. Further, the applicant suggested amendment "direct exclusive communication" intended to overcome the prior art's implementation of ORB and stub would be given further consideration in due course.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul H. Kang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3882. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

Application/Control Number: 09/761,433 Page 4.

Art Unit: 2144

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Vaughn can be reached on (571) 272-3922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Paul H Kang
Primary Examiner